Application No. Applicant(s) 10/562,939 LEHMANN ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit MICHAEL R. BALLINGER 3732 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) MICHAEL R. BALLINGER. (3)Mr. Richard Tushin. (2) _____. (4) . Date of Interview: 24 April 2009. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative e) No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description; . Claim(s) discussed: 15.18-21 and 24-26. Identification of prior art discussed: _____. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The above claims were discussed with regard to their complaince with 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph. Specifically, proper antecedent basis was discussed for the "top module" as claimed and agreement was reached to amend the claims to put the application in condition for allowance through Examiner's Amendment of claims 15,19-21 and 24-26 as well as cancellation of claim 18. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.